

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,171	08/06/2003	Dieter Heindl	21339-US	1366	
22829 ROCHE MOL	7590 02/07/200 ECULAR SYSTEMS II	EXAMINER			
PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT 1145 ATLANTIC AVENUE			SHAW, AMANDA MARIE		
ALAMEDA, O			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, -			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/635,171	HEINDL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Amanda M. Shaw	1634

	Amanua W. Shaw	1034	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	,
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee te with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date			
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 1/16/2007. A brief in condate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacement. 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· •	y rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		-	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.] will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-10,14-15 and 27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>none</i> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	ippeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
13. Other:		TOUR	
•		June	
		DIANA JOHANNI PRIMARY EXAMI	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments made to the claims raise new issues because the scope of the claims has changed. Previously the claims were drawn to spacer entities consisting essentially of A/T base pairs. Since the phrase "consisting essentially of" was not clearly defined in the specification and there is no art recognized definition for this phrase, the phrase was interpreted as spacer entitites which also had G/C base pairs present. However now the claims recite spacer entities which "consist" of A/T base pairs. So now the claims must be interpreted as spacer entities which only consist of A/T base pairs. Thus the scope of the claims has been changed and further search and consideration would be required.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record in view of the non-entry of the after final amendment.